

Gravitational lensing in metric theories of gravity

Mauro Sereno*

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche,

Università degli Studi di Napoli “Federico II”

and

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare,

Sez. di Napoli,

via Cinthia, Compl. Univ. Monte S. Angelo,

80126, Napoli, Italia

(Dated: December 6, 2002)

Abstract

Gravitational lensing in metric theories of gravity is discussed. I introduce a generalized approximate metric element, inclusive of both post-post-Newtonian (ppN) contributions and gravito-magnetic field. Following Fermat’s principle and standard hypotheses, I derive the time delay function and deflection angle caused by an isolated mass distribution. Several astrophysical systems are considered. In most of the cases, the gravito-magnetic correction offers the best perspectives for an observational detection. Actual measurements distinguish only marginally different metric theories one from another.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.25.Nx, 04.80.Cc, 95.30.Sf, 97.20.Rp

Keywords: gravitational lensing, metric theories of gravity

*Electronic address: sereno@na.infn.it

I. INTRODUCTION

The principle of equivalence provides a firm foundation to any conceivable theory of gravity. On the other hand, the derivation of Einstein's field equations contains a strong element of guesswork. It is, therefore, very interesting to test metric theories of gravity defined as theories such that [5, 27]: *i)* space-time is a Lorentzian manifold; *ii)* the world lines of test bodies are geodesics; *iii)* the equivalence principle in the medium strong form is satisfied. General relativity, Brans-Dicke theory and the Rosen bimetric theory satisfy these postulates. In these theories, the usual rules for the motion of particles and photons in a given metric still apply, but the metric may be different from that derived from the Einstein's field equations. The basic assumption of the existence of a dynamical space-time curvature, as opposed to a flat space-time of special relativity, still holds.

Different metric theories can be compared with suitable tests. Bending and time delay of electromagnetic waves are two important phenomena predicted by theories of gravity. A comparison among general relativity and other viable theories of gravity can be led on the basis of higher-order effects. Intrinsic gravito-magnetism is such an effect. Mass-energy currents relative to other masses generate space-time curvature. In particular, the effect of the angular momentum of the deflector has been studied by several authors with very different approaches, see [2, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 23, 24, 25]. The ppN corrections to the metric element have also to be considered. These second order contributions, in the cases of either gravitational deflection of light or relativistic time delay, have been discussed, respectively, in [7, 8, 18, 19] and [20]. In particular, using a parametrized expansion of the metric element to second order in the Newtonian potential, Richter & Matzner [15, 18, 19, 20] have examined phenomena of light deflection by Sun and Jupiter.

In this paper, I discuss deflection and time delay of light rays in the usual framework of gravitational lensing [17, 22]. As shown in [4, 23], the standard assumptions of gravitational lensing, i.e. the weak field and slow motion approximation for the lens, allow us to consider higher-order approximation terms in the calculation of lensing quantities, so that a very general treatment of lensing phenomena can be performed.

On the observational side, gravitational lensing is one of the more deeply investigated phenomena of gravitation and it is becoming a more and more important tool for experimental astrophysics and cosmology. The impressive development of technical capabilities

makes it possible to obtain observational evidences of peculiar metric theories of gravity in a next future and to test the degree of accuracy of the Einstein's field equations. Furthermore, observations of gravitational lensing phenomena could demonstrate the inertia-influencing effect of masses in motion. In fact, the gravito-magnetic field, predicted in 1896-1918, has not yet a firm experimental measurement.

The paper is as follows. In Section II, I introduce the generalized metric element in the weak field and slow motion approximation. Section III considers the Fermat's principle in stationary space-times. Sections IV and V contain a derivation of, respectively, the time delay function and the deflection angle. In Section VI, I consider light rays passing outside the lens; several astrophysical systems are discussed. Section VII is devoted to a summary and to some final considerations.

II. A GENERALIZED METRIC ELEMENT

The comparison of metric theories of gravity with each other and with experiments can be performed in the slow-motion, weak field limit. Let us consider the approximate ppN metric element generated by an isolated mass distribution, with energy density ρ , negligible pressure, and energy current density $j^i = \rho v^i$, $v \ll c$. As shown in [15, 18], the knowledge of light propagation to any given order requires knowledge of every component of the metric to the same order. We first consider the generalized central mass solution of general relativity (Schwarzschild metric) in isotropic coordinates and expand it as power series in the small parameter $\frac{GM}{r}$ to the ppN order. Then, we multiply the terms of this expansion by dimensionless parameters. This expression can be generalized to an arbitrary mass distribution by replacing $-\frac{GM}{r}$ with the standard Newtonian potential U , solution of $\Delta U = 4\pi G\rho$, $U \ll c^2$. It is $U \sim \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$, with ε denoting the order of approximation. Finally, we introduce the non-diagonal components of the metric tensor generated by mass currents. We can write $g_{0i} \sim -4V_i$, where V_i is the gravito-magnetic potential, solution of $\Delta V_i = 4\pi G\rho v_i$, $V_i \sim Uv \sim \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$. The final expression for the approximate metric element is

$$ds^2 \simeq \left[1 + 2\frac{U}{c^2} + 2\beta \left(\frac{U}{c^2} \right)^2 \right] c^2 dt^2 - \left[1 - 2\gamma \frac{U}{c^2} + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon \left(\frac{U}{c^2} \right)^2 \right] d\mathbf{x}^2 - 8\mu \frac{\mathbf{V} \cdot d\mathbf{x}}{c^3} c dt. \quad (1)$$

Asimptotically, the metric reduces to the Minkowski's one. β and γ are two standard coefficients of the post-Newtonian parametrized expansion of the metric tensor [5, 27]. β

is related to nonlinearity of mass contribution to the metric; γ measures space curvature produced by mass. In general relativity, it is $\beta = \gamma = 1$; in the Brans-Dicke theory, $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = \frac{1+\omega}{2+\omega}$. ϵ and μ are non standard parameters. ϵ takes into account the ppN contribution to the metric [7]; μ quantifies the contribution to the space-time curvature of the mass-energy currents and measures the strength of the intrinsic gravito-magnetic field [5]. In general relativity, $\epsilon = \mu = 1$. Additional terms in a parametrized expansion of the metric element can also be considered [15, 18].

The approximated metric element just introduced cannot describe every conceivable metric theory of gravity. In particular, it does not consider preferred frame effects, violations of conservation of four momentum and preferred location effects[28]. However, the metric in Eq. (1) should be obeyed by most metric theories, with differences among them occurring only in the numerical coefficients.

We will assume that during the time a light ray interacts with an isolated distribution of matter, the configuration of that matter does not change significantly. Then, the metric element can be considered as stationary with

$$U(\mathbf{x}) \simeq -G \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3x', \quad (2)$$

and

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}) \simeq -G \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(\rho\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3x'. \quad (3)$$

Our approximation is accurate enough to encompass all astrophysical tests that can be performed in the foreseeable future and makes it possible to describe gravitational lensing in quite general metric theories beyond the post-Newtonian order, without knowing any particular field equation.

III. THE FERMAT'S PRINCIPLE IN STATIONARY SPACE-TIMES

Within the assumptions of geometrical optics, Maxwell's equations have approximate solutions in generic curved space-times [22]. From the principle of equivalence, it turns out that light rays are null geodesics. They can be characterized by the Fermat's principle which states that a light ray from a source to an observer follows a trajectory, from among all kinematically possible paths, whose arrival time is stationary under first order variations of the path, $\delta\tau = 0$. The Fermat's principle takes a version of particular interest in stationary

space-times [2, 14, 22]. We consider a metric whose components $g_{\alpha\beta}$ are function of the spatial coordinates x^i only (roman indeces label spatial coordinates). On a null curve, it is

$$ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta = 0;$$

for the future-directed light ray,

$$cdt = -\frac{g_{i0}}{g_{00}} dx^i + \frac{dl_P}{\sqrt{g_{00}}}, \quad (4)$$

where $dl_P^2 \equiv \left(-g_{ij} + \frac{g_{0i}g_{0j}}{g_{00}}\right) dx^i dx^j$ defines the spatial metric [13].

Let us consider an asymptotically flat space-time. The arrival time of a light ray, whose spatial projection is p , to an asymptotic observer is given by

$$t = \frac{1}{c} \int_p \frac{dl_P}{\sqrt{g_{00}}} - \frac{g_{i0}}{g_{00}} dx^i, \quad (5)$$

and the Fermat's principle states

$$\delta \int_p n dl_P = 0, \quad (6)$$

where the spatial paths p are to be varied with fixed endpoints; n is an effective index of refraction defined as

$$n \equiv -\frac{g_{i0}}{g_{00}} e^i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{00}}}, \quad (7)$$

where $e^i \equiv \frac{dx^i}{dl_P}$ is the unit tangent vector of a ray. This version of the Fermat's principle is formally identical with the classical one.

IV. THE TIME DELAY FUNCTION

Let us go, now, to apply the above results to our approximate metric element. The proper arc length is

$$dl_P \simeq \left\{ 1 - \gamma \frac{U}{c^2} + \left(\frac{3}{4} \epsilon - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{U}{c^2} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6) \right\} dl_{\text{eucl}}, \quad (8)$$

where $dl_{\text{eucl}} \equiv \sqrt{\delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j}$ is the Euclidean arc length. Since Eqs. (5, 7, 8), the total travel time reads

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \int_p n dl_P \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{c} \int_p \left\{ 1 - (1 + \gamma) \frac{U}{c^2} + \left[\frac{3}{2} - \beta + \gamma \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon \right] \left(\frac{U}{c^2} \right)^2 + 4\mu \frac{V_i}{c^3} e^i \right\} dl_{\text{eucl}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The time delay of the path p relative to the unlensed ray p_0 is

$$\Delta T \equiv \frac{1}{c} \left\{ \int_p n \, dl_P - \int_{p_0} dl_P \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) can be expressed as a sum of geometrical and potential time delays

$$\Delta T = \Delta T_{\text{geom}} + \Delta T_{\text{pot}}.$$

The geometrical time delay,

$$c\Delta T_{\text{geom}} \equiv \int_p dl_P - \int_{p_0} dl_P, \quad (11)$$

is due to the extra path length relative to the unperturbed ray p_0 .

The potential time delay ΔT_{pot} is due to the retardation of the deflected ray caused by the gravitational field of the lens; it is defined as the difference between the total travel time and the integral of the line element along the deflected path,

$$c\Delta T_{\text{pot}} \equiv \int_p n \, dl_P - \int_p dl_P. \quad (12)$$

In usual lensing phenomena, the deflection angle of a light ray is very small and we can treat the lens as thin [17, 22]. Since the deflection occurs essentially in a small region near the deflector, the actual ray path can be approximated by combining its incoming and outgoing asymptotes. This trajectory is a piecewise-smooth null geodesics curve consisting of a null geodesic from the source to the deflector and one from the deflector to the observer. It is useful to employ the spatial orthogonal coordinates (ξ_1, ξ_2, l) , centred on the lens and such that the l -axis is along the incoming light ray direction $\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}}$. The three-dimensional position vector $\mathbf{x}$ can be expressed as $\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\xi} + l\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}}$. The lens plane, (ξ_1, ξ_2) , corresponds to $l = 0$. With these assumptions, the geometrical time delay is [17, 22]

$$c\Delta T_{\text{geom}} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \frac{D_d D_s}{D_{\text{ds}}} \left| \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{D_d} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{D_s} \right|^2, \quad (13)$$

where D_s is the distance from the observer to the source, D_d is the distance from the observer to the deflector and D_{ds} is the distance from the deflector to the source; $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is the bidimensional vector position of the source in the source plane.

The potential time delay can be written as the sum of three terms,

$$\Delta T_{\text{pot}} = \Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{pN}} + \Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{GRM}} + \Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{ppN}}. \quad (14)$$

The first contribution contains the post-Newtonian correction to the time delay. To calculate the contribution of order G^N to the lensing quantities, we need the path of the deflected light ray to the order G^{N-1} [8]. So, an integration along the line of sight, $\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}}$, is accurate enough to evaluate the pN contribution. This corresponds to the Born approximation. It is

$$\begin{aligned} c\Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{pN}} &\equiv -\frac{(1+\gamma)}{c^2} \int_{\text{l.o.s.}} U dl_{\text{eucl}} \\ &\simeq -2(1+\gamma) \frac{G}{c^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\xi' \Sigma(\xi') \ln \frac{|\xi - \xi'|}{\xi_0} + \text{const} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where Σ is the projected surface mass density

$$\Sigma(\xi) \equiv \int_{\text{l.o.s.}} \rho(\xi, l) dl, \quad (16)$$

and ξ_0 is a scale-length in the lens plane.

The second contribution to the time delay derives from the gravito-magnetic field,

$$c\Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{GRM}} \equiv \frac{4\mu}{c^3} \int_p \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e} dl_{\text{eucl}}. \quad (17)$$

Since the dragging of inertial frames induces a correction of order ε^3 , we can again assume the thin lens hypothesis [17, 22] and integrate Eq. (17) over the unperturbed ray $\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}}$. Is is easy to generalize the results in [23]; we get

$$c\Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{GRM}} \simeq 8\mu \frac{G}{c^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\xi' \Sigma(\xi') \langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\text{l.o.s.}}(\xi') \ln \frac{|\xi - \xi'|}{\xi_0} + \text{const}; \quad (18)$$

$\langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\text{l.o.s.}}$ is the weighted average, along the line of sight, of the component of the velocity $\mathbf{v}$ orthogonal to the lens plane,

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\text{l.o.s.}}(\xi) \equiv \frac{\int (\mathbf{v}(\xi, l) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\text{in}}) \rho(\xi, l) dl}{\Sigma(\xi)}. \quad (19)$$

The last term in Eq. (14) is the ppN one. The first contribution to the ppN time delay derives from non-linear interaction of matter with space-time, represented in the approximate metric element, Eq. (1), by terms which contain the square of the Newtonian potential. We have

$$c\Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{ppN}(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{c^4} \left[\frac{3}{2} - \beta + \gamma \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon \right] \int_p U^2 dl_{\text{eucl}} \quad (20)$$

Since the ppN order is $\mathcal{O}(G^2)$, the Born approximation breaks down, so that we have to consider the integration of the Newtonian potential on the deflected path, calculated at order $\mathcal{O}(G)$,

$$c\Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{ppN}(2)} \equiv -\frac{(1+\gamma)}{c^2} \left\{ \int_p U dl_{\text{eucl}} - \int_{\text{l.o.s.}} U dl_{\text{eucl}} \right\}. \quad (21)$$

Higher order corrections to the geometrical time delay also contribute to the ppN time delay. The difference in the distances of closest approach of the deflected and undeflected light rays represents a pN quantity: first-order corrections in the calculation of this difference are $\sim \mathcal{O}(G^2)$ and induce a ppN contribution to the time delay. We will consider explicitly this third contribution in the Section VI. $\Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{ppN}}$ cannot be expressed in terms of an integral of elementary functions and projected quantities, such as Σ .

We remind that the time delay function is not an observable, but the time delay between two actual rays can be measured.

V. THE DEFLECTION ANGLE

To derive the deflection angle, we apply the Fermat's principle, Eq. (5). In the formalism of the previous sections, the Fermat's principle can be restated as: actual light rays, given the source position, are characterized by critical points of the total time delay, i.e. $\Delta T(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ is stationary with respect to variations of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. The lens equation is then obtained calculating

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \Delta T(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0; \quad (22)$$

we get

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \frac{D_s}{D_d} \boldsymbol{\xi} - D_{\text{ds}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}); \quad (23)$$

$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \equiv -c \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \Delta T_{\text{pot}}$ is the deflection angle, i.e. the difference of the initial and final ray direction. Once again, the post-Newtonian and the gravito-magnetic contribution to the deflection angle have a simple expression. It is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\text{pN}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \simeq 2(1 + \gamma) \frac{G}{c^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \xi' \Sigma(\boldsymbol{\xi}') \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}'}{|\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}'|^2}. \quad (24)$$

The equivalence principle, special relativity and Newtonian gravitational theory imply that a photon must feel the gravity field of massive body. They yield only the “1” part of the coefficient in front of Eq. (24). This accounts for the deflection of light relative to local straight lines. However, because of space curvature, local straight lines are bent relative to asymptotic straight lines. The contribution proportional to γ in Eq. (24) is just the bending due to the space metric, described by the g_{ii} components of Eq. (1); γ measures, at the post-Newtonian order, the curvature generated by an isolated mass and varies from theory to theory.

The contribution of the gravito-magnetic field to the deflection angle is

$$\hat{\alpha}^{\text{GRM}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \simeq -8\mu \frac{G}{c^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\xi' \Sigma(\boldsymbol{\xi}') \langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\text{l.o.s.}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}') \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}'}{|\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}'|^2}. \quad (25)$$

The parameter μ tests intrinsic gravito-magnetism in conceivable metric theories of gravity [5]. For an analysis of the integral in Eq. (25), I refer to [23, 25].

The ppN contribution to the deflection angle has not a simple form in terms of $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\xi})$.

VI. THE NEARLY POINT-LIKE LENS

Let us consider light rays passing outside a matter distribution of total mass M and radius R . We will refer to this situation as to the nearly point-like lens. If we place the origin of the coordinates at the centre of mass of the system, the dipole term vanishes identically [3]. The Newtonian potential, to order ε^4 , reads

$$U = -G \frac{M}{|\mathbf{x}|} + U_{J_2}, \quad (26)$$

where U_{J_2} is the quadrupole term,

$$U_{J_2} \equiv J_2 G \frac{M}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} R^2 \frac{3 \cos^2 \vartheta - 1}{2}; \quad (27)$$

J_2 is the dimensionless coefficient of the second zonal harmonic and ϑ is the angle between the symmetry axis and the field point $\mathbf{x}$. Assuming the deflector to be symmetric about its angular momentum vector $\mathbf{L}$, it is

$$\cos \vartheta = \frac{\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{L}| |\mathbf{x}|}. \quad (28)$$

The lensing quantities at the post-Newtonian order, Eqs. (15, 24), reduce to

$$c\Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{pN}} = -2(1 + \gamma) \frac{GM}{c^2} \ln \left(\frac{\xi}{\xi_0} \right), \quad (29)$$

and

$$\hat{\alpha}^{\text{pN}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 2(1 + \gamma) \frac{GM}{c^2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{\xi^2}. \quad (30)$$

The first higher order correction derives from the quadrupole moment. Since U_{J_2} is a higher-order term, we can integrate along the unperturbed path. It is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_p U_{J_2} dl &\simeq \frac{1}{2} J_2 G M R^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{3}{L^2} \frac{(L_{\text{l.o.s.}} l + L_1 \xi_1 + L_2 \xi_2)^2}{l^2 + \xi^2} - \frac{1}{(l^2 + \xi^2)^{3/2}} \right] dl \\ &= -J_2 G M R^2 \left[1 - 2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\perp})^2 - \left(\frac{L_{\text{l.o.s.}}}{L} \right)^2 \right] \frac{1}{\xi^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

L is the modulus of the angular momentum; $L_{\text{l.o.s.}}$ is the projection along the line of sight; L_1 and L_2 are the components on $\hat{\xi}_1$ and $\hat{\xi}_2$, respectively; $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\perp} \equiv (\frac{L_1}{L}, \frac{L_2}{L}, 0)$ is the projection of the versor of the angular momentum on the lens plane. The contribution to the time delay is, see also [20],

$$c\Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{J_2} \simeq (1 + \gamma) \frac{GM}{c^2} J_2 R^2 \left[1 - 2(\hat{\xi} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\perp})^2 - \left(\frac{L_{\text{l.o.s.}}}{L} \right)^2 \right] \frac{1}{\xi^2}. \quad (32)$$

The predicted deflection due to the second zonal harmonic reads, see also [7],

$$\hat{\alpha}^{J_2}(\xi) \simeq 2(1 + \gamma) \frac{GM}{c^2} J_2 R^2 \left\{ \left[1 - 4(\hat{\xi} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\perp})^2 - \left(\frac{L_{\text{l.o.s.}}}{L} \right)^2 \right] \hat{\xi} + 2(\hat{\xi} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\perp}) \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\perp} \right\} \frac{1}{\xi^3}. \quad (33)$$

Circular symmetry is broken.

Let us now calculate the ppN correction. The first contribution comes from those terms in the approximate metric element which are quadratic in U . Since

$$\int_{\text{observer}}^{\text{source}} U^2 dl_{\text{eucl}} \simeq (GM)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\xi^2 + l^2} dl = \pi \frac{(GM)^2}{\xi}, \quad (34)$$

it is

$$c\Delta T_{\text{ppN}}^{(1)} = \pi \left[\frac{3}{2} - \beta + \gamma \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon \right] \left(\frac{GM}{c^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\xi}. \quad (35)$$

The second contribution to the ppN time delay derives from the integration of the Newtonian potential on the deflected path. Since the linearized metric to the pN order is spherically symmetric, the photon path lies in the plane through source, lens and observer. As well known, the deflected trajectory at the pN order reads [8, 20]

$$\Delta\xi \simeq (1 + \gamma) \frac{GM}{c^2} \frac{1}{\xi} (l + (l^2 + \xi^2)^{1/2}), \quad (36)$$

where $\Delta\xi$ is the distance of the photon from an axis, parallel to the optical axis, passing through ξ . On the deflected path, the modulus of the distance of the field point reads

$$|\mathbf{x}| \simeq (l^2 + \xi^2)^{1/2} \left[1 - \xi \frac{\Delta\xi}{l^2 + \xi^2} \right]. \quad (37)$$

We have

$$\int_p U dl - \int_{\text{l.o.s.}} U dl \simeq -(1 + \gamma) \left(\frac{GM}{c} \right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{l}{(l^2 + \xi^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{l^2 + \xi^2} \right] dl \quad (38)$$

$$= -(1 + \gamma) \left(\frac{GM}{c} \right)^2 \frac{\pi}{\xi}; \quad (39)$$

the second contribution to the ppN time delay reads

$$c\Delta T_{\text{ppN}}^{(2)} = (1 + \gamma)^2 \left(\frac{GM}{c^2} \right)^2 \frac{\pi}{\xi}. \quad (40)$$

The last contribution to the ppN order comes from higher order corrections in the estimate of the length of the deflected path. It is

$$\int_p dl \simeq \int_{\Delta l} dl \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\Delta\xi}{dl} \right)^2 \right] \quad (41)$$

$$= \int_{\Delta l} dl + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma)^2 \left(\frac{GM}{c^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\xi^2} \int_{\Delta l} dl \left[1 + 2 \frac{l}{(l^2 + \xi^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{l^2}{l^2 + \xi^2} \right]; \quad (42)$$

integrating from $-D/2$ to $+D/2$, $D \gg \xi$, we get

$$\int_p dl \simeq D \left[1 + (1 + \gamma)^2 \left(\frac{GM}{c^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\xi^2} \right] - \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma)^2 \left(\frac{GM}{c^2} \right)^2 \frac{\pi}{\xi}. \quad (43)$$

The last term in the right hand side of Eq. (43) does not depend on D and represents a local effect; it contributes to the ppN time delay,

$$c\Delta T_{\text{ppN}}^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma)^2 \left(\frac{GM}{c^2} \right)^2 \frac{\pi}{\xi}. \quad (44)$$

Then, adding the three contributes, we get

$$c\Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{ppN}} = \pi \left[2(1 + \gamma) - \beta + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon \right] \left(\frac{GM}{c^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\xi}, \quad (45)$$

and

$$\hat{\alpha}^{\text{ppN}}(\xi) = \pi \left[2(1 + \gamma) - \beta + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon \right] \left(\frac{GM}{c^2} \right)^2 \frac{\hat{\xi}}{\xi^2}. \quad (46)$$

For β , γ and ϵ of order of the unity, nearly 80% of the bending come from the standard post-Newtonian parameters β and γ , the remaining 20% arises from the non-standard ϵ coefficient. Our estimate agrees with the earlier results in [7, 8, 18].

Eqs. (45, 46) can be easily generalized. For a not specified mass distribution, we have

$$c\Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{\text{ppN}} \simeq \left[2(1 + \gamma) - \beta + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon \right] \int_{\text{l.o.s.}} \left(\frac{U}{c^2} \right)^2 dl_{\text{eucl}}, \quad (47)$$

and

$$\hat{\alpha}^{\text{ppN}}(\xi) \simeq -c \left[2(1 + \gamma) - \beta + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon \right] \int_{\text{l.o.s.}} \nabla_{\xi} \left(\frac{U}{c^2} \right)^2 dl_{\text{eucl}}. \quad (48)$$

In order to compare the effect of dragging of inertial frames on the deflection angle with the ppN contribution, we use the results for a finite homogeneous sphere. For a deflector rotating about the ξ_2 -axis with angular momentum L , it is, outside the lens radius [24, 25],

$$\Delta T^{\text{GRM}}(\xi, \theta) = -\mu \frac{4G}{c^3} L \frac{\cos \theta}{\xi}, \quad (49)$$

and

$$\alpha_1^{\text{GRM}}(\xi, \theta) = \mu \frac{4G}{c^3} \frac{L}{\xi^2} \cos 2\theta, \quad (50)$$

$$\alpha_2^{\text{GRM}}(\xi, \theta) = \mu \frac{4G}{c^3} \frac{L}{\xi^2} \sin 2\theta, \quad (51)$$

where ξ and θ are the polar coordinates in the lens plane. The gravito-magnetic field breaks the circular symmetry. Both the ppN and the gravito-magnetic contributions to the deflection angle decrease as ξ^{-2} . The sign of the gravito-magnetic correction varies on opposite sides of the lens, so that it can be separated experimentally from other terms.

For an angular momentum directed along the ξ_2 -axis, the quadrupole contribution to the time delay, Eq. (32), reduces to

$$c\Delta T_{\text{pot}}^{J_2} \simeq (1 + \gamma) \frac{GM}{c^2} J_2 R^2 \frac{\cos 2\theta}{\xi^2}. \quad (52)$$

As can be seen comparing Eqs. (49, 52), the angular dependence of the gravito-magnetic correction differs from that in Eq. (52). The sign of the quadrupole correction does not change in the equatorial plane. Furthermore, for a deflector with angular momentum with generic direction in the space, the gravito-magnetic effect depends only on the component of $\mathbf{L}$ in the lens plane [23], whereas $L_{\text{l.o.s.}}$ enters the quadrupole correction.

The magnitudes of the different contributions to the deflection angle are considered by investigating real astrophysical systems acting as lenses. It is enough to use the values of the coefficient in general relativity, $\beta = \gamma = \epsilon = \mu = 1$. We will consider light rays in the equatorial plane ($\theta = 0$).

The post-Newtonian deflection angle for rays grazing the solar limb is 1.75 arcsec; α^{ppN} is about 11 μarcsec , where the contribution of the non-standard ϵ coefficient is $\sim 2 \mu\text{arcsec}$. Given the angular momentum of the Sun, $L_\odot \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{48} \text{ g cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ [1], the gravito-magnetic correction is $\sim 0.7 \mu\text{arcsec}$. Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) has improved the accuracy of the measurements of the deflection of radio waves by the Sun to the milli-arcsec level. This is not enough to measure the higher order ppN and gravito-magnetic

contributions, so that the parameters β , ϵ and μ cannot be determined. However, strong constraints on γ can be put. It is $\gamma = 1.000 \pm 0.002$ [21], an impressive confirmation of the prediction by general relativity. In Brans-Dicke theory, this measurement constrains the ω parameter, $\omega \gtrsim 500$.

For an early type star, $L = 10^2 L_\odot \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot}\right)^{5/3}$ [12]. For $M = 1.4 M_\odot$, $R = 1.1 R_\odot$ and for a light ray grazing the star's limb, $\alpha^{\text{pN}} \simeq 2.23$ arcsec, $\alpha^{\text{ppN}} \simeq 18$ μ arcsec, $\alpha^{\text{GRM}} \simeq 0.10$ milli-arcsec. The gravito-magnetic correction overwhelms the ppN one by an order of magnitude.

The gravito-magnetic field becomes even more significant for a fast rotating white dwarf, where $L \sim \sqrt{0.2 G M^3 R}$ [16]. For $M \sim M_\odot$, $R \sim 10^{-2} R_\odot$, $\xi \sim 6R$, it is $\alpha^{\text{pN}} \simeq 29.2$ arcsec, $\alpha^{\text{ppN}} \simeq 0.1$ milli-arcsec, and $\alpha^{\text{GRM}} \simeq 0.032$ arcsec. In this case, the gravito-magnetic correction is quite important.

Now, we want to apply our approximation to a galaxy acting as a lens. We take $M = 10^{12} M_\odot$, $R \simeq 50$ kpc and $L \sim 0.1 M_\odot \text{ kpc}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, as derived from numerical simulations [26]. It is $\alpha^{\text{pN}} \simeq 0.80$ arcsec, $\alpha^{\text{ppN}} \simeq 2.2$ μ arcsec, $\alpha^{\text{GRM}} \simeq 0.16$ milli-arcsec. The gravito-magnetic correction overwhelms the ppN one by two orders of magnitude.

VII. SUMMARY

The time delay function and the deflection angle for a single lens plane have been considered in the framework of metric theories of gravity. I used an approximate metric element generated by an isolated mass distribution in the weak field regime and slow motion approximation, expanded to the ppN order, and with non-diagonal components which include the effects of gravity by currents of mass.

Simple expressions for the ppN corrections to the lensing quantities have been derived. The nearly point-like lens has been used to consider several astrophysical systems. This approximation for the deflector is quite rough, but, some of the times, astrophysics can be tough. The gravito-magnetic correction and the ppN contribution to the deflection angle are of the same order for intermediate main sequence stars, like the Sun. For early type stars, white dwarfs and galaxies acting as lenses, the gravito-magnetic term overwhelms the ppN one. Differently from both pN and ppN contributions, which depend only on the impact parameter of the incident ray, the gravito-magnetic field induces a deflection which breaks the spherical symmetry, so that it offers the best perspectives for an observational detection.

However, a mass quadrupole moment can make the situation worse on the observational side.

Ground based instrumentations, such as VLBI, or satellites, such as Hipparcos, can measure deflection angles, respectively in the radio-wave regime and optical band, with accuracy of nearly milli-arcsec. Since the γ parameter appears in the post-Newtonian expression of the lensing quantities, this accuracy put strong constraints on it. However, the other parameters which enter the approximate metric element, that is the standard β term and the non-standard ϵ and μ coefficients, need more accurate measurements. Lensing by fast rotating stars, such as white dwarfs, could give some hints on the dragging of inertial frames, whose strength is determined by the μ parameter.

New generation space interferometric mission, such as SIM by NASA (scheduled for launch in 2009), should greatly improve the experimental accuracy, so that gravitational lensing could address, in the near future, two very interesting topics in gravitation: the detection of gravito-magnetism and possible discrepancies of gravity from predictions of general relativity.

Acknowledgments

I thank the referee for the illuminating report.

-
- [1] Allen C.W., *Astrophysical Quantities*, 1983, The Athlone Press, London
 - [2] Asada H., Kasai M., 2000, *Prog. Th. Phys.* 104, 95
 - [3] Binney J., Tremaine S., 1987, *Galactic Dynamics*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton.
 - [4] Capozziello S., Lambiase G. Papini G. Scarpetta G., 1999, *Phys. Lett. A* 254, 11.
 - [5] Ciufolini I., Wheeler J.A., 1995, *Gravitation and Inertia*, Princeton University Press, Princeton
 - [6] Dymnikova I., 1986, in “Relativity in Celestial Mechanics and Astrometry”, eds. Kovalevsky J., Brumberg A., 411.
 - [7] Epstein R., Shapiro I., 1980, *Phys. Rev. D* 22, 2947.
 - [8] Fishbach E., Freeman B.S., 1980, *Phys. Rev. D* 22, 2950
 - [9] Glicenstein J.F., 1999, *A&A* 343, 1025.

- [10] Ibáñez J., 1983, A&A 124, 175.
- [11] Ibáñez J., Martín J., 1982, Phys. Rev. D 26, 384.
- [12] Kraft R.P., 1967, ApJ 150, 551
- [13] Landau L.D., Lifshits E.M., 1985, Teoria dei Campi, Editori Riuniti, Roma
- [14] Marino A.A., Capozziello S., de Ritis R., Scudellaro P., 2000, Lezioni di Lensing Gravitazionale, Bibliopolis, Napoli
- [15] Matzner R.A., Richter G.W., 1981, Astrophys. Space Sci., 79, 119
- [16] Padmanabhan T., 2001, Theoretical Astrophysics Vol. II, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- [17] Petters A.O., Levine H., Wambsganss J., 2001, Singularity Theory and Gravitational Lensing, Birkhäuser, Boston
- [18] Richter G.W., Matzner R.A., 1982, Phys. Rev. D, 26, 1219
- [19] Richter G.W., Matzner R.A., 1982, Phys. Rev. D, 26, 2549
- [20] Richter G.W., Matzner R.A., 1983, Phys. Rev. D, 28, 3007
- [21] Robertson D.S., Carter W.E., Dillinger W.H., 1991, Nature, 349, 768
- [22] Schneider P., J. Ehlers J., Falco E.E., 1992, Gravitational Lenses, (Springer, Berlin)
- [23] Sereno M., 2002, Phys. Lett. A, 305, 7; [astro-ph/0209148]
- [24] Sereno M., 2002, A&A, submitted
- [25] Sereno M., Cardone V.F., 2002, A&A, in press; [astro-ph/0209297]
- [26] Vitviska M., Klypin A., Kravtsov A.V., et al., 2001, ApJ, submitted; [astro-ph/0105349]
- [27] Will, C.M., 1993, Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics, rev. ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- [28] Even by including the complete standard set of ten parameters, the post-Newtonian parametrized expansion cannot include every conceivable metric theory of gravity [5].